



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,887	03/30/2001	Michael P. Dallmeyer	051252-5188	4450

9629 7590 02/13/2004
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER

KENNY, STEPHEN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3726

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/820,887

Applicant(s)

DALLMEYER ET AL.

Examiner

Stephen J Kenny

Art Unit

3726

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/8/03 consisting of CD-ROMs containing all of the co-pending applications filed by the inventive entity is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kummer (International Publication Number WO 00/43666) in view of Simandl et al (US Patent No 5803983).

Regarding claims 1, 6, & 15, Kummer discloses forming a fuel injector comprising a fuel group (or "hydraulic metering subassembly" 12) & power group (14) subassembly separate from one another; and prior to assembling the fuel group, assembling a fuel tube including an inlet tube & non-magnetic shell (page 4, lines 13+); and a power group (14) comprising a magnetic housing attached to a solenoid coil; inserting the fuel group (12) into the power group (14); and fixedly connecting the two subassemblies (page 5, lines 19-20).

Art Unit: 3726

Regarding claims 2-5, 7, 8, 13, 18, 19-21, 24-33, 38 Kummer discloses performing a fuel flow test on the fuel group (14) (separate from or outside the clean room as discussed below) prior to inserting the fuel group into the power group (page 2, lines 9-11); and inserting/securing (via welding) the fuel group to the power group outside of the fuel group manufacturing area.

Regarding claims 11, 12, 36, & 37, Kummer discloses inserting a filter (68) and an armature into the fuel tube assembly (Figure 13 & page 7, lines 5+).

Regarding claims 14, & 39, Kummer discloses the non-magnetic shell is inserted prior to the inlet tube (page 4, line 24+ & Figures 1-11).

Regarding claims 16, & 22, Kummer discloses connecting an electrical terminal to the solenoid coil on the power group (page 5, lines 16+).

Regarding claims 17 & 23, Kummer discloses overmolding the power group (page 7, lines 7-12).

Kummer does not explicitly disclose providing a clean room, and fabricating said fuel group in said clean room.

Regarding claims 1, 6, & 15, Simandl discloses fabricating fuel injectors in clean room environments (column 1, lines 50+). The use of clean rooms is advantageous in that it helps prevent any FOD (Foreign Object Debris) from contaminating the fuel injector thereby preventing malfunction.

Regarding claims 9, 10, 34, & 35, Simandl discloses washing the fuel tube (column 2, lines 28-35).

Art Unit: 3726

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a fuel injector of modular components (i.e. a fuel group & power group) wherein each group is fabricated separately as disclosed by Kummer (for the reasons set forth on page 2, lines 2-5), while performing fabrication/washing of the fuel group in a clean room as taught by Simandl in order to reduce the number of injector malfunctions while minimizing the manufacturing costs. Furthermore, due to the fact that the power group and fuel group sub-assemblies are formed in separate environments as disclosed by Kummer (page 4, lines 9-12), it is inherent that in order to combine the two sub-assemblies, one of said sub-assemblies would have to be removed from its manufacturing environment. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to perform the flow test, inserting, & connecting steps exterior of the clean room, since applicant has not disclosed that performing these steps exterior of the clean room solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose, and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the above mentioned steps being performed inside the clean room.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record, on the attached PTO-892, and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J Kenny whose telephone number is 703-306-0359. The examiner can normally be reached on mon - fri 9am - 5pm.

Art Unit: 3726

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sk SK

2/9/09



PETER VO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700